



Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

VII. *On the methods of cutting rock crystal for micrometers.* By
WILLIAM HYDE WOLLASTON, M. D. F. R. S.

Read February 24, 1820.

FOR the mere purpose of examining the phenomena of double refraction, it is extremely easy for any skilful workman to combine a wedge of rock crystal, or any other doubly refracting substance, with another wedge of crown glass opposed to it, in such a manner that a luminous object seen through them shall appear in its true place by ordinary refraction, accompanied by a second image at a small distance, produced by the extraordinary refraction of the crystal.

In consequence of the dispersion of colours which occurs in employing different substances, the above combination is not suited for the purpose of the micrometer invented by the Abbé ROCHON; but it is not difficult to obtain such a section of rock crystal as may be substituted for the wedge of glass, so that the pencil of light shall be restored to its original direction void of colour, without diminishing the separation of the images occasioned by the first wedge.

But since the degree to which the double refraction of rock crystal separates the two portions of a beam of light transmitted through it, is not so great as may frequently be wished, it becomes desirable to increase this effect beyond what can be produced by the most obvious method of employing that substance; and it does appear from M. ROCHON's own account

of his contrivance,* that he fully succeeded in accomplishing this end. But although he informs us that the means employed, as best suited to his views, had exactly the effect of doubling the amount of deviation produced by ordinary means, he has not chosen to explain the mode of construction he adopted, and has merely referred to a certain artist living at that time in Paris, who was in possession of his secret, and skilful in applying it to the construction of micrometers.

As I have reason to think that the method to which he alludes in his memoir has never yet been described, I design, in the present communication, to explain a combination which I have found advantageous; and which I think must be the same as that of M. ROCHON.

I shall hope to render the principles of this construction intelligible to every one acquainted with the original observation of HUYGENS on the properties of polarised light, and to enable any competent artist to cut wedges from hexagonal prisms of rock crystal, in the positions requisite to produce, by their combination, the double effect to which I allude.

There are three principal directions in which a crystal may be cut specifically different from each other, which require to be distinctly understood.

In the first place, let us suppose a prismatic crystal to be placed with its axis in a vertical position, and a portion to be cut off from the base by a plane surface at right angles to the axis, and sufficient to form a wedge of 20 degrees, by giving it a second surface duly inclined to the former. For distinction, this may be called the *horizontal* wedge.

Next, let the crystal be bisected vertically by a plane

* Journal de Physique, An. 9.

passing through two opposite edges of the prism, in order to make two other wedges which are to be cut in different directions from the two portions, and to have each the same angle of 20 degrees.

Let one of the halves thus obtained be slit in a plane which meets the surface of bisection in one of the edges of the original prism, and consequently, in a line parallel to the axis. The wedge thus formed may be called a *lateral* wedge.

Let the remaining half be cut by another plane not vertical, but inclined to the vertical plane at an angle of 20°, and meeting it in a line parallel to the base, or at right angles to the axis. This may be called a *vertical* wedge.

We have thus three wedges cut in different directions [at right angles to each other, and, accordingly, having their axes of crystallization differently placed in each.

In the first, or horizontal wedge, the axis is at right angles to the first surface. In the second, or lateral wedge, the axis is parallel in the first surface, and parallel to its acute edge. In the third, or vertical wedge, the axis is also in the first surface, but it is at right angles to the acute edge.

An object seen through the first wedge in the direction of the axis, does not appear double; but, since rays transmitted through the second or third, pass at right angles to the axis, both of these wedges give two images of any object seen through them.

There are obviously three modes in which these wedges may be combined in pairs, by placing two of them together with their acute edges in opposite directions.

The first pair may be represented by L H; the second by V H; the third by V L. In the two first cases



the separation of the images will be the same, since the angles of all the wedges are supposed to be made equal, the compound medium will be comprised under parallel surfaces, so that a ray ordinarily refracted by both, emerges in its original direction ; but since the extraordinary ray is made to deviate about 17 minutes from the ordinary course by the wedge which refracts doubly, this difference is not corrected by the horizontal wedge, so that an object seen through either of the combinations L H or V H, appears doubled to the amount of 17'.

The third combination, consisting of the vertical and lateral wedges combined, as in the former cases, with their acute edges in opposite directions, produces an effect perfectly distinct from either of the former combinations ; for by reason of the transverse position of their axes of crystallization, the separation of the two images becomes exactly doubled. The consequence of that position is, that the pencil ordinarily refracted by the first wedge, is refracted extraordinarily by the second, and that which has been refracted extraordinarily by the first, suffers a similar interchange, and is now ordinarily refracted, so that neither of the divided pencils returns to its true place ; and since one falls as much short of the mean as the other exceeds the truth, they emerge ultimately separated twice the usual difference between the ordinary and extraordinary refractions, and thus present two images separated 34 minutes, just double of that which is effected by either of the preceding combinations.

Though it could scarcely be doubted that this is essentially the construction which was employed by M. ROCHON, there is an additional circumstance concerning the effect of such a

pair of wedges when otherwise combined, which fully establishes the identity of the method here proposed with his. If the two wedges be placed with their edges together, so as to form by their union a wedge of 40° , the consequence is, that though a pencil of light is in fact divided into two parts by the first wedge, both parts in the end emerge together; the refraction of one being $o + e$, and of the other $e + o$: they both deviate from their original direction by exactly the same quantity, and present only a single image of the luminous object; but it is coloured, as usual, in proportion to the amount of deviation occasioned by the sum of the wedges. This, without doubt, is the first of two opposite directions mentioned by M. ROCHON, in which he says the double refraction was not perceptible.

“ Pour cet effet,” says M. ROCHON, “ j’employai deux
 “ prismes égaux taillés dans le sens le plus favorable a mes
 “ vues, et en les présentant dans les deux sens opposés je
 “ trouvai, que dans la première disposition la double réfrac-
 “ tion n’étoit pas perceptible, mais, en faisant prendre à mes
 “ prismes un sens inverse, la double réfraction de chaque
 “ prisme étoit presque doublée.”

The correspondence in the effect which I have described, renders this passage from M. ROCHON perfectly intelligible; and I hope the directions above given will be sufficient to enable any one to cut a crystal to the greatest advantage for making this sort of micrometer. But it must be observed, that in attempting such a construction, great nicety is requisite, not only in cutting the wedges so that the refraction in each shall take place at right angles to the axis, but also in cementing them together, so that the axes of the two wedges

shall be at right angles to each other. And it may farther be remarked, that even then, unless the pencil of light pass truly in the common plane of refraction of the wedges, four images will be formed, so as to destroy the effect of the combination.